## 3.10 CHANDY-MISRA-HAAS ALGORITHM FOR THE AND MODEL

- This is considered an edge-chasing, probe-based algorithm.
- It is also considered one of the best deadlock detection algorithms for distributed systems.
- If a process makes a request for a resource which fails or times out, the process generates a probe message and sends it to each of the processes holding one or more of its requested resources.
- This algorithm uses a special message called probe, which is a triplet (i, j,k), denoting that it belongs to a deadlock detection initiated for process Pi andit is being sent by the home site of process Pj to the home site of process Pk.
- Each probe message contains the following information:
  - $\succ$  the id of the process that is blocked (the one that initiates the probe message);
  - > the id of the process is sending this particular version of the probe message;
  - ➤ the id of the process that should receive this probe message.
- A probe message travels along the edges of the global WFG graph, and a deadlock is detected when a probe message returns to the process that initiated it.
- A process P<sub>j</sub> is said to be dependent on another process P<sub>k</sub> if there exists a sequence of processes P<sub>j</sub>, P<sub>i1</sub>, P<sub>i2</sub>, ..., P<sub>im</sub>, P<sub>k</sub>such that each process except P<sub>k</sub>in the sequence is blocked and each process, except the P<sub>j</sub>, holds a resource for which the previous process in the sequence is waiting.
- Process  $P_j$  is said to be locally dependent upon process  $P_k$  if  $P_j$  is dependent upon  $P_k$  and both the processes are on the same site.
- When a process receives a probe message, it checks to see if it is also waiting for resources
- If not, it is currently using the needed resource and will eventually finish and release the resource.
- If it is waiting for resources, it passes on the probe message to all processes it knows to be holding resources it has itself requested.
- The process first modifies the probe message, changing the sender and receiver ids.
- If a process receives a probe message that it recognizes as having initiated, it knows there is a cycle in the system and thus, deadlock.

### **Data structures**

Each process Pi maintains a boolean array, dependenti, where dependent(j) is true only if Pi knows that Pj is dependent on it. Initially, dependenti (j) is false for all i and j.

if P<sub>i</sub> is locally dependent on itself then declare a deadlock else for all  $P_i$  and  $P_k$  such that (a)  $P_i$  is locally dependent upon  $P_i$ , and (b) P<sub>j</sub> is waiting on P<sub>k</sub>, and (c) P<sub>i</sub> and P<sub>k</sub> are on different sites, send a probe (i, j, k) to the home site of  $P_k$ On the receipt of a probe (i, j, k), the site takes the following actions: if (d) P<sub>k</sub> is blocked, and (e) dependent<sub>k</sub>(i) is false, and (f)  $P_k$  has not replied to all requests  $P_i$ , then begin  $dependent_k(i) = true;$ if k = ithen declare that  $P_i$  is deadlocked else for all  $P_m$  and  $P_n$  such that (a')  $P_k$  is locally dependent upon  $P_m$ , and (b')  $P_m$  is waiting on  $P_n$ , and (c') Pm and Pn are on different sites, send a probe (i, m, n) to the home site of  $P_n$ end.

Fig 3.11: Chandy–Misra–Haas algorithm for the AND model

# **Performance analysis**

- In the algorithm, one probe message is sent on every edge of the WFG which connects processes on two sites.
- The algorithm exchanges at most m(n-1)/2 messages to detect a deadlock that involves m processes and spans over n sites.
- The size of messages is fixed and is very small (only three integer words).
- The delay in detecting a deadlock is O(n).

#### Advantages:

- It is easy to implement.
- Each probe message is of fixed length.
- There is very little computation.
- There is very little overhead.
- There is no need to construct a graph, nor to pass graph information to other sites.
- This algorithm does not find false (phantom) deadlock.
- There is no need for special data structures.

### 3.11 CHANDY-MISRA-HAAS ALGORITHM FOR THE OR MODEL

- A blocked process determines if it is deadlocked by initiating a diffusion computation.
- Two types of messages are used in a diffusion computation:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  query(i, j, k)
  - $\succ$  reply(i, j, k)

denoting that they belong to a diffusion computation initiated by a process  $p_i$  and are being sent from process  $p_j$  to process  $p_k$ .

- A blocked process initiates deadlock detection by sending query messages to all processes in its dependent set.
- If an active process receives a query or reply message, it discards it.
- When a blocked process Pk receives a query(i, j, k) message, it takes the following actions:
  - 1. If this is the first query message received by Pk for the deadlock detection initiated by Pi, then it propagates the query to all the processes in its dependent set and sets a local variable num<sub>k</sub> (i) to the number of query messages sent.
  - 2. If this is not the engaging query, then Pk returns a reply message to it immediately provided Pk has been continuously blocked since it received the corresponding engaging query. Otherwise, it discards the query.
    - Process Pk maintains a boolean variable wait<sub>k</sub>(i) that denotes the fact that it has been continuously blocked since it received the last engaging query from process Pi.
    - When a blocked process Pk receives a reply(i, j, k) message, it decrements num<sub>k</sub>(i) only if wait<sub>k</sub>(i) holds.
    - A process sends a reply message in response to an engaging query only after it has received a reply to every query message it has sent out for this engaging query.
    - The initiator process detects a deadlock when it has received reply messages to all the query messages it has sent out.

Initiate a diffusion computation for a blocked process  $P_i$ : send query(i, i, j) to all processes  $P_j$  in the dependent set  $DS_i$  of  $P_i$ ;  $num_i(i) := |DS_i|$ ;  $wait_i(i) := true$ ;

```
When a blocked process P_k receives a query(i, j, k):
```

if this is the engaging *query* for process  $P_i$  then send *query*(*i*, *k*, *m*) to all  $P_m$  in its dependent set  $DS_k$ ;  $num_k(i) := |DS_k|$ ;  $wait_k(i) := true$ else if  $wait_k(i)$  then send a reply(i, k, j) to  $P_i$ .

When a process  $P_k$  receives a reply(i, j, k):

if  $wait_k(i)$  then  $num_k(i) := num_k(i) - 1;$ if  $num_k(i) = 0$  then if i = k then **declare a deadlock** else send reply(i, k, m) to the process  $P_m$ which sent the engaging query.

# Fig 3.12: Chandy–Misra–Haas algorithm for the OR model

# **Performance analysis**

• For every deadlock detection, the algorithm exchanges e query messages and reply messages, where e = n(n - 1) is the number of edges.