## Unit-IV Non-Parametric Test

A population in statistics means a set of object. The population is finite or infinite according to the number of elements of the set is finites or infinite.

## Sampling:

A sample is a finite subset of the population. The number of elements in the sample is called size of the sample.

## Large and small sample:

The number of elements in a sample is greater than or equal to 30 then the sample is called a large sample and if it is less than 30 , then the sample is called a small sample.

## Parameters:

Statistical constant like mean $\mu$, variance $\sigma^{2}$, etc., computed from a population are called parameters of the population.

## Statistics:

Statistical constants like $\bar{x}$, variance $S^{2}$, etc., computed from a sample are called samlple staticts or statistics.

| POPULATION (PARAMETER) | SAMPLE (STATISTICS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Population size $=\mathrm{N}$ | Sample size $=\mathrm{n}$ |
| Population mean $=\mu$ | Sample mean $=x$ |
| Population s.d. $=\sigma$ | Sample s.d. $=\mathrm{S}$ |
| Population proportion $=\mathrm{P}$ | Sample proportion $=\mathrm{p}$ |

## Tests of significance or Hypothesis Testing:

## Statistical Hypothesis:

In making statistical decision, we make assumption, which may be true or false are called Statistical Hypothesis.

## Null Hypothesis( $H_{0}$ ):

For applying the test of significance, we first setup a hypothesis which is a statement about the population parameter. This statement is usually a hypothesis of no true difference between sample statistics and population parameter under consideration and so it is called null hypothesis and is denoted by $H_{0}$.

## Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_{1}$ ):

Suppose the null hypothesis is false, then something else must be true. This is called an alternative hypothesis and is denoted by $H_{1}$.
Eg. If $H_{0}$ is population mean $\mu=300$, then $H_{1}$ is $\mu \neq 300$ (ie. $\mu<300$ or $\mu>300$ ) or $H_{1}$ is $\mu>300$ or $H_{1}$ is $\mu<300$. So any of these may be taken as alternative hypothesis.
$t=\frac{15-14}{3.146 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{12}}}=0.742$
Critical value: The critical value of $t$ at $5 \%$ level of significance with degrees of freedom $n_{1}+n_{2}-2=10+12-2=20$ is 2.086
Conclusion: calculated value < table value
$H_{0}$ is Accepted.

## ii) F-test to test equality of populations variances:

Null Hypothesis $H_{0}$ : $\sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$ The population Variances are equal
Alternative Hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \sigma_{1}^{2} \neq \sigma_{2}^{2}$ The population Variances are not equal
Level of significance: $\alpha=5 \%$
Test Statistics:
$F=\frac{S_{1}{ }^{2}}{S_{2}{ }^{2}}$
Where $S_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{n_{1}-1} \sum(x-x)^{2}=\frac{1}{10-1}(90)=10$
$S_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{n_{1}-1} \sum(y-y)^{2}=\frac{1}{12-1}(108)=9.818$
Here $S_{1}{ }^{2}>S_{2}{ }^{2} \quad \therefore F=\frac{S_{1}{ }^{2}}{S_{2}{ }_{2}}=\frac{10}{9.818}=1.02$
Critical value:The critical value of $F$ at $5 \%$ level of significance with degrees of freedom $\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)=(9,11)$ is 2.90
Here calculated value < table value, we accept $H_{0}$
Conclusion: Both null hypothesis $\mu \underset{1}{\neq \mu} \mu_{2}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$ are accepted.
Hence we may conclude the two samples are drawn from same normal population.
III $\chi^{2}$-test:
(i). $\chi^{2}$-Test for a specified population variance
(ii). $\chi^{2}$-test is used to test whether differences between observed and expected frequencies are significant (goodness of fit).
(iii). $\chi^{2}$-test is used to test the independence of attributes.
$\chi^{2}$-Test for a specified population variance:
The test statistics $\chi^{2}=\frac{n s^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$
Which follows $\chi^{2}$ - distribution with $(\mathrm{n}-1)$ degrees of freedom

## Problem:

1. The lapping process is used to grind certain silicon wafers to the proper thickness is acceptable only $\sigma$, the population S.D. of the thickness of dice cut from the wafers, is at most 0.5 mil . Use the 0.05 level of significance to test the null hypothesis $\sigma=0.5$ against the alternative hypothesis $\sigma>0.5$, if the thickness of 15 dice cut from such wafers have S.D of 0.64 mil.

## Solution:

Given $n=15, \mathrm{~s}=0.64, \sigma=0.5$
$H_{0}: \sigma=0.5, H_{1}: \sigma>0.5$
Under $H_{0}$, The test statistics $\chi^{2}=\frac{n s^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{15(0.64)^{2}}{(0.5)^{2}}=24.576$
From $\chi^{2}$ table, with degrees of freedom $=14, \chi_{0.05}^{2}=23.625$
$\therefore \chi^{2}>\chi_{0.05}^{2} \quad H_{0}$ is rejected. Hence $\sigma>0.5$
$\chi^{2}$-test is used to test whether differences between observed and expected frequencies are significant (goodness of fit):
$\frac{\left.\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left\lfloor O_{i}\right.} \right\rvert\,}{\lfloor }$
Where $O_{i}$ is observed frequency, and $E_{i}$ is the expected frequency.
If the data given in a series of n number, then degree of freedom $=\mathrm{n}-1$.
Note: In case of binomial distribution d. $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{n}-1$, poisson distribution d.f $=\mathrm{n}-2$, normal distribution d. $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{n}-3$.

## Problem:

1. The following data give the number of aircraft accident that occurred during the various days of a week:

| Days | $:$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | of | 15 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 15 | accidents:

Test the whether the accident are uniformly distributed over the week.
Solution:
The expected number of accident on any day $=\frac{90}{6}=15$
Let $H_{0}$ : Accidents occur uniformly over the week
$H_{1}$ : Accidents not occur uniformly over the week


Here 6 observations are given, degrees of freedom $=n-1=6-1=5$
From $\chi^{2}$ table, with degrees of freedom $=5, \chi_{0.05}^{2}=11.07$
$\therefore \chi^{2}<\chi_{0.05}^{2} \quad H_{0}$ is accepted.
Conclusion: $\therefore$ Accidents occur uniformly over the week
2. A survey of 320 families with 5 children each revealed the following distribution:

| No. of <br> Boys: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of <br> Girls: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| No. of <br> families: | 14 | 56 | 110 | 88 | 40 | 12 |

Is the result consistent with the hypothesis that male and female births are equally probable?
Solution:
Let $H_{0}$ : Male and female births are equally probable
$H_{1}$ : Male and female births are not equally probable
Probability of male birth $=p=\frac{1}{2}$, Probability of female birth $=q=\frac{1}{2}$
The probability of x male births in a family of 5 is $p(x)=5 C p^{x} q^{5-x}, x=0,1,2 \ldots 5$
Expected number of families with x male births $=320 \times 5 C_{x} p^{x} q^{5-x}, x=0,1,2 \ldots 5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =320 \times 5 C_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{5-x} \\
& =320 \times 5 C_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{5}=10 \times 5 C_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\chi^{2}$ is calculated using the following table:

| No. of <br> Boys | Observed <br> Freqency <br> $\left(O_{i}\right)$ | Expected <br> Frequency <br> $E_{i}=10 \times 5 C_{x}$ | $\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 1.6 |
| 4 | 56 | 50 | 6 | 0.72 |
| 3 | 110 | 100 | 10 | 1 |
| 2 | 88 | 100 | -12 | 1.44 |
| 1 | 40 | 50 | -10 | 2 |
| 0 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Total | 320 | 320 |  | 7.16 |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=7.16
$$

The tabulated value of $\chi^{2}$ for $n-1=6-1=5$ degrees of freedom at $5 \%$ level of significance $=\chi_{0.05}^{2}=11.07$

Since $\chi^{2}<\chi_{0.05}^{2}$. So we accepted $H_{0}$.
Conclusion: $\therefore$ The male and female births are equally probable.
3. Fit a poisson distribution to the following data and test the goodness of fit.

| $\mathbf{x :}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{f ( x ) :}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Solution:

Mean of the given distribution $=x=\frac{\sum f_{i} x_{i}}{\sum f_{i}}=\frac{189}{392}=0.482$
To fit a poisson distribution to the given data:
We take the parameter of the poisson distribution equal to the mean of the given distribution. $=\lambda=\bar{x}=0.482$
The poisson distribution is given by $P(X=x)=\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x}}{x!} ; x=0,1,2 \ldots \infty$
$x!\quad e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x} \quad e^{-0.482}(0.482)^{x}$
and the expected frequencies are obtained by $f(x)=\left(\sum f_{i}\right) \times \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x}}{x!}=392 \times \frac{e^{2}}{x!}$
we get $f(0)=392 \times \frac{e^{-0.482}(0.482)^{0}}{0!}=242.1, f(1)=392 \times \frac{e^{-0.482}(0.482)^{1}}{1!}=116.69$
$f(3)=4.518, f(4)=0.544, f(5)=0.052 \approx 0.1, f(6)=0.004 \approx 0$

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected <br> Frequency: | 242.1 | 116.69 | 28.12 | 4.518 | 0.544 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 392 |

$H_{0}$ : The poisson distribution fit well into the data.
$H_{1}$ : The poisson distribution does not fit well into the data.
The $\chi^{2}$ is calculated using the following table:

| $\mathbf{x}$ | Observed <br> Freqency <br> $\left(O_{i}\right)$ | Expected <br> Frequency <br> $\left(E_{i}\right)$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 275 | 242.1 | 4.471 |
| 1 | 72 | 116.7 | 17.122 |
| 2 | 30 | 28.1 | 0.128 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 1 8}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Total | 392 | 392 | 40.939 |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=40.939
$$

The tabulated value of $\chi^{2}$ for $=7-1-1-3=2$ degrees of freedom at $5 \%$ level of significance $=\chi_{0.05}^{2}=5.991$

Since $\chi^{2}>\chi_{0.05}^{2}$. So we rejected $H_{0}$.

## Conclusion: $\therefore$

The Poisson distribution is not a good fit to the given data.

## $\chi^{2}$-test is used to test the independence of attributes:

An attributes means a equality or characteristic. $\chi^{2}$ - test is used to test whether the two attributes are associated or independent. Let us consider two attributes A and B. A is divided into three classes and B is divided into three classes.


Now, under the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : The attributes A and B are independent and we calculate the expected frequency $E_{i j}$ for varies cells using the following formula.
$E_{i j}=\frac{R_{i} \times C_{j}}{N}, i=1,2, \ldots r, j=1,2, \ldots s$

| $E\left(a_{11}\right)=\frac{R_{1} \times C_{1}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{12}\right)=\frac{R_{1} \times C_{2}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{13}\right)=\frac{R_{1} \times C_{3}}{N}$ | $R_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(a_{21}\right)=\frac{R_{2} \times C_{1}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{22}\right)=\frac{R_{2} \times C_{2}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{23}\right)=\frac{R_{2} \times C_{3}}{N}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| $E\left(a_{31}\right)=\frac{R_{3} \times C_{1}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{32}\right)=\frac{R_{3} \times C_{2}}{N}$ | $E\left(a_{33}\right)=\frac{R_{3} \times C_{3}}{N}$ | $R_{3}$ |
| $C_{1}$ | $C_{2} C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |

and we compute $\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(O_{i j}-E\right)_{i j}\end{array} E_{i j}\right.}{E_{i j}}$
Which follows $\chi^{2}$ distribution with $\mathrm{n}=(\mathrm{r}-1)(\mathrm{s}-1)$ degrees of freedom at $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ level of significance.

1. Calculate the expected frequencies for the following data presuming two attributes viz., conditions of home and condition of child as independent.

| Condition of Child | Condition of home |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clean | Dirty |
|  | Clean | 70 | 50 |
|  | Fair | 80 | 20 |
|  | Dirty | 35 | 45 |

Use Chi-Square test at $\mathbf{5 \%}$ level of significance to state whether the two attributes are independent.

## Solution:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : Conditions of home and conditions of child are independent.
Alternate hypothesis $H_{1}$ : Conditions of home and conditions of child are not independent.
Level of significance: $\alpha=0.05$

The test statistics: $\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$
Analysis:

| Condition of Child | Condition of home |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clean | Dirty |  |
|  | Clean | 70 | 50 | 120 |
|  | Fair | 80 | 20 | 100 |
|  | Dirty | 35 | 45 | 80 |
| Total |  | 185 | 115 | 300 |

Expected Frequency $=\frac{\text { Corresponding row total } \times \text { Column total }}{\text { Grand Total }}$
Expected Frequency for $70=\frac{120 \times 185}{300}=74$, Expected Frequency for $80=\frac{100 \times 185}{300}=61.67$,
Expected Frequency for $35=\frac{80 \times 185}{300}=49.33$, Expected Frequency for $50=\frac{120 \times 115}{300}=46$,
Expected Frequency for $20=\frac{100 \times 115}{300}=38.33$, Expected Frequency for $45=\frac{80 \times 115}{300}=30.67$

| $O_{i j}$ | $E_{i j}$ | $O_{i j}-E_{i j}$ | $\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 74 | -4 | 16 | $\frac{16}{74}=0.216$ |
| 50 | 46 | 4 | 16 | 0.348 |
| 80 | 61.67 | 18.33 | 335.99 | 5.448 |
| 20 | 38.33 | -18.33 | 335.99 | 8.766 |
| 35 | 49.33 | -14.33 | 205.35 | 4.163 |
| 45 | 30.67 | 14.33 | 205.35 | 6.695 |
| Total |  |  |  | 25.636 |

$\therefore \chi^{2}=25.636$
$\alpha=0.05$ Degrees of freedom $=(r-1)(c-1)=(3-1)(2-1)=2 \quad \therefore \chi_{\alpha}^{2}=5.991$

## Conclusion:

Since $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha}^{2}$, we Reject our Null Hypothesis $H_{0}$. Hence, Conditions of home and conditions of child are not independent.
2. The following contingency table presents the reactions of legislators to a tax plan according to party affiliation. Test whether party affiliation influences the reaction to the tax plan at 0.01 level of signification.

| Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Party | In favour | Neutral | Opposed | Total |  |
| Party A | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |  |
| Party B | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ |  |
| Party C | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ |  |

## Solution:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : Party affiliation and tax plan are independent.
Alternate hypothesis $H_{1}$ : Party affiliation and tax plan are not independent.
Level of significance: $\alpha=0.05$
The test statistic: $\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$
Analysis:

| Reaction |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Party | Infavour | Neutral | Opposed | Total |
| Party A | 120 | 20 | 20 | 160 |
| Party B | 50 | 30 | 60 | 140 |
| Party C | 50 | 10 | 40 | 100 |
| Total | 220 | 60 | 120 | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{E}(120)=\frac{160 \times 220}{400}=88 ; & \mathrm{E}(20)=\frac{160 \times 60}{400}=24 ; & \mathrm{E}(20)=\frac{160 \times 120}{400}=48 \\
\mathrm{E}(50)=\frac{140 \times 220}{400}=77 ; & \mathrm{E}(30)=\frac{140 \times 60}{400}=21 ; & \mathrm{E}(60)=\frac{140 \times 120}{400}=42 \\
\mathrm{E}(50)=\frac{100 \times 220}{400}=55 ; & \mathrm{E}(10)=\frac{100 \times 60}{400}=15 ; & \mathrm{E}(40)=\frac{120 \times 100}{400}=30
\end{array}
$$

| $O_{i j}$ | $E_{i j}$ | $O_{i j}-E_{i j}$ | $\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 120 | 88 | 32 | 1024 | 11.64 |
| 20 | 24 | -4 | 16 | 0.67 |
| 20 | 48 | -28 | 784 | 16.33 |
| 50 | 77 | -27 | 729 | 9.47 |
| 30 | 21 | 9 | 81 | 3.86 |
| 60 | 42 | 18 | 324 | 7.71 |
| 50 | 55 | -5 | 25 | 0.45 |
| 10 | 15 | -5 | 25 | 1.67 |
| 40 | 30 | 10 | 100 | 3.33 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

$\therefore \chi^{2}=55.13$
$\alpha=0.05$ Degrees of freedom $=(r-1)(s-1)=(3-1)(3-1)=4 \quad \therefore \chi_{0.05}^{2}=13.28$
Conclusion: Since $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha}{ }^{2}$, we Reject our Null Hypothesis $H_{0}$
Hence, the Party Affiliation and tax plan are dependent.
3. From a poll of $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ television viewers, the following data have been accumulated as to, their levels of education and their preference of television stations. We are interested in determining if the selection of a TV station is independent of the level of education

| Educational Level |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | High School | Bachelor | Graduate | Total |
| Broadcasting | 50 | 150 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ |
| Commercial Stations | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 520 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ |

(i) State the null and alternative hypotheses.
(ii) Show the contingency table of the expected frequencies. (iii) Compute the test statistic.
(iv) The null hypothesis is to be tested at $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence. Determine the critical value for this test.

## Solution:

(i)Null Hypothesis: Selection of TV station is independent of level of education

Alternative Hypothesis: Selection of TV station is not independent of level of education
(ii) Level of significance: $\alpha=0.05$

| Educational Level |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | High School | Bachelor | Graduate | Total |
| Broadcasting | 50 | 150 | 80 | 280 |
| Commercial Stations | 150 | 250 | 120 | 520 |
| Total | 200 | 400 | 200 | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ |

## To Find Expected frequency:

Expected Frequency $=\frac{\text { Corresponding row total } \times \text { Column total }}{\text { Grand Total }}$
Expected Frequency for $50=\frac{280 \times 200}{800}=70$, Expected Frequency for $150=\frac{280 \times 400}{800}=140$
Expected Frequency for $80=\frac{280 \times 200}{800}=70$, Expected Frequency for $150=\frac{520 \times 200}{800}=130$
Expected Frequency for $250=\frac{520 \times 400}{800}=260$, Expected Frequency for $120=\frac{520 \times 200}{800}=130$
The test statistic: $\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$
Analysis:

| $O_{i j}$ | $E_{i j}$ | $O_{i j}-E_{i j}$ | $\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 70 | -20 | 400 | 5.714 |
| 150 | 140 | 10 | 100 | 0.174 |
| 80 | 70 | 10 | 100 | 1.428 |
| 150 | 130 | 20 | 400 | 3.076 |
| 250 | 260 | -10 | 100 | 0.385 |
| 120 | 130 | -10 | 100 | 0.769 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 11.546 |

(iii) Test statistic $=11.546$
(iv) Critical Chi-Square $=5.991$,

Conclusion: Calculated value $>$ table value
Hence, we reject Null Hypothesis.

