### 1.6 The Predicate Calculus

The predicate calculus deals with the study of predicates.

Consider the following statement.

## "Ram is a boy"

In the above statement, "is a boy" is the predicate and the name "Ram" is the subject.

If we denote "is a boy" by B and subject "Ram" by r, then the statement "Ram is a boy" can be represented as B(r).

Some examples

## 1." *x* is a man"

Here, Predicate is "is a man" and it is denoted by M. Subject is "x" and it is denoted by x.

Hence the given statement "x is a man" can be denoted by M(x).

# 2. "Sam is poor and Ram is intelligent"

The statement "Sam is poor" can be represented by P(s) where P represents predicate "is poor" and s represents subject "Sam"

The statement "Ram is intelligent" can be represented by I(r) where I represents predicate "is intelligent" and r represents subject "Ram".

Hence the given statement "Sam is poor and Ram is intelligent" can be symbolized as  $P(s) \wedge I(r)$ .

The Theory of Inference for Predicate Calculus

Universal Specification (UG):  $A(y) \Rightarrow (x)A(x)$ 

Existential Generalization (EG):  $A(y) \Rightarrow (\exists x)A(x)$ 

Universal Specification (US):  $(x)A(x) \Rightarrow A(y)$ 

Existential Specification (ES):  $(\exists x)A(x) \Rightarrow A(y)$ 

**Problems:** 

1. Show that  $(x)(H(x) \rightarrow M(x)) \land H(s) \Rightarrow M(s)$ 

**Solution:** 

|       | 7 YUSEDUR                | courtbuller                         |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| {1}   | $1) (x) (H(x) \to M(x))$ | Rule P                              |
| {1}   | $2)H(s)\to M(s)$         | Rule US                             |
| {3}   | 3 H(s)                   | Rule P                              |
| {1,3} | 4)M(s)                   | Rule T $(P, P \to Q \Rightarrow Q)$ |

2. Show that 
$$(x)(P(x) \to Q(x)) \land (x)(Q(x) \to R(x)) \Rightarrow (x)(P(x) \to R(x))$$

# **Solution:**

| {1}   | $1) (x) (P(x) \to Q(x))$      | Rule P  |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|
| {1}   | $2)P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)$ NGI | Rule US   |
| {3}   | $3(x)(Q(x)\to R(x))$          | Rule P  |
| {1,3} | $4)Q(y) \rightarrow R(y)$     | Rule US   |
| {1,3} | $5) P(y) \to R(y)$            | Rule T $(P \to Q, Q \to R \Rightarrow P \to R)$ |
| {1,3} | $6)(x)(P(x) \to R(x))$        | Rule UG   |

# 3. Show that $(\exists x)(P(x) \land Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists x)P(x) \land (\exists x)Q(x)$

## **Solution:**

| {1}   | 1) $(\exists x)(P(x) \land Q(x))^{-1}$     | Rule P                               |
|-------|--|--------------------------------------|
| {1}   | $2)P(y) \wedge Q(y)$                       | Rule ES                              |
| {3}   | 3 P(y)                                     | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$   |
| {1,3} | 4)Q(y)                                     | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$   |
| {1,3} | $5) (\exists x) P(x)$                      | Rule EG                              |
| {1,3} | $6)(\exists x)Q(x)$                        | Rule EG                              |
| {1}   | $7)(\exists x)P(x) \wedge (\exists x)Q(x)$ | Rule $T(P, Q \Rightarrow P \land Q)$ |

**4.Show that**  $(x)(P(x) \lor Q(x)) \Rightarrow (x)P(x) \lor (\exists x)Q(x)$ 

## **Solution:**

We shall use the indirect method of proof.

Assume  $\neg ((x)P(x) \lor (\exists x)Q(x))$  as an additional premises.

|     |  | // \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
|-----|--|---|
| {1} | 1) $\neg ((x)P(x) \lor (\exists x)Q(x))$               | Assumed Premises                        |
| {1} | 2) $(\exists x) \neg P(x) \land (x)Q(x)$               | Rule T (D'Morgan's law)                 |
| {1} | 3) $(\exists x) \neg P(x)$                             | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$      |
| {1} | 4) (x)Q(x)   | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$      |
| {1} | $5) \neg P(y)$   | Rule ES                                 |
| {1} | $  6 \rangle \neg Q(y)$                                | Rule US                                 |
| {1} | 7) $\neg P(y) \land \neg Q(y)$ $ESERVE OPTIMIZE OUTSP$ | Rule $T(P, Q \Rightarrow P \land Q)$    |
| {1} | $8) \neg (P(y) \lor Q(y))$                             | Rule T (D'Morgan's law)                 |
| {1} | 9) $(x)(P(x) \vee Q(x))$                               | Rule P                                  |
| {1} | $10) P(y) \vee Q(y)$                                   | Rule US                                 |
| {1} | 11) $(P(y) \lor Q(y)) \land \neg (P(y) \lor Q(y))$     | Rule $T(P, Q \Rightarrow P \land Q)$    |

which is nothing but false value.

5. Show that 
$$(x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \Rightarrow (x)P(x) \rightarrow (x)Q(x)$$

# **Solution:**

Assume 
$$\neg((x)P(x) \rightarrow (x)Q(x))$$
 GINEER/VG

| {1}   | $1) \neg ((x)P(x) \rightarrow (x)Q(x))$ | Assumed Premises   |
|-------|---|--|
| {1}   | $2) (x)P(x) \wedge \neg (x)Q(x)$        | Rule T $(P \to Q \Rightarrow \neg P \lor Q)$                     |
| {1}   | 3) (x)P(x)                              | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$                               |
| {1}   | $4) \neg ((x)Q(x))$                     | Rule T $(P \land Q \Rightarrow P)$                               |
| {1}   | 5) $(\exists x) \neg Q(x)$              | Rule T(Taking ¬)   |
| {1}   | 6) P(y)                                 | Rule US  |
| {1}   | 7) $\neg Q(y)$                          | Rule ES  |
| {1}   | 8) $P(y) \land \neg Q(y)$               | Rule T $(P, Q \Rightarrow P \land Q)$                            |
| {9}   | $9) \neg (P(y) \rightarrow Q(y))$       | Rule $T((P \land \neg Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg(P \rightarrow Q))$ |
| {9}   | $10) (\exists x) \neg (P(x) \to Q(x))$  | Rule EG  |
| {1,9} | $11) \neg ((x)P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$   | Rule T(Taking ¬ )  |