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## NORTH WEST CORNER RULE

## INTRODUCTION

The North West corner method is one of the methods to obtain a basic feasible solution of the transportation problems (special case of LPP). The procedure is given below:

Step 1: Balance the problem i.e. $\sum$ Supply $=\sum$ Demand
Step 2: Start allocating from North-West corner cell
We will start the allocation from the left hand top most corner (north-west) cell in the matrix and make allocation based on availability and demand.


Now, verify the smallest among the availability (Supply) and requirement (Demand), corresponding to this cell.

Step 3: Remove the row or column whose supply or demand is fulfilled and prepare a new matrix

As we have fulfilled the availability or requirement for that row or column respectively, remove that row or column and prepare a new matrix.

## Step 4: Repeat the procedure until all the allocations are over

Repeat the same procedure of allocation of the new North-west corner until all allocations are over.

## Step 5: After all the allocations are over, write the allocations and calculate the transportation cost

Once all allocations are over, prepare the table with all allocations marked and calculate the transportation cost.

## Problem 1:

A mobile phone manufacturing company has three branches located in three different regions, say Jaipur, Udaipur and Mumbai. The company has to transport mobile phones to three destinations, say Kanpur, Pune and Delhi. The availability from Jaipur, Udaipur and Mumbai is 40,60 and 70 units respectively. The demand at Kanpur, Pune and Delhi are 70, 40 and 60 respectively. The transportation cost is shown in the matrix below (in Rs). Use the North-West corner method to find a basic feasible solution (BFS).

## Destinations

| sources | Jaipur <br> Udaipur | Kanpur | Pune | Delhi | Supply <br> 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 60 |
|  |  | 6 | 2 | 8 | 70 |
|  | Demand | 70 | 40 | 60 | 170 |

## Solution:

## Step 1: Balance the problem

$\Sigma$ Supply $=\Sigma$ Demand
$\rightarrow$ The given transportation problem is balanced.
Step 2: Start allocating from North-West corner cell

We will start the allocation from the left hand top most corner (north-west) cell in the matrix and make allocation based on availability and demand.

Destinations

| sources | Jaipur <br> Udaipur | Kanpur | Pune | Delhi | Supply <br> 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $4(40)$ | 5 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 60 |
|  | Mumbai | 6 | 2 | 8 | 70 |
|  | Demand | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 40 | 60 | 170 |

Step 3: Remove the row or column whose supply or demand is fulfilled and prepare a new matrix


Step 4: Repeat the procedure until all the allocations are over
Repeat the same procedure of allocation of the new North-west corner so generated and check based on the smallest value as shown below, until all allocations are over.

| sources | Udaipur | Destinations |  |  | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kanpur | Pun | Delhi |  |
|  |  | 3 (30) | 4 | 3 | 6030 |
|  | Mumbai | 6 | 2 | 8 | 70 |
|  | Demand | $3 \begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 60 |  |

## Destinations



Destinations

| sources | Mumbai | Pune | Delhi | Supply$70 \quad 600_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 (10) | 8(60) |  |
|  | Demand | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \sigma \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |

Step 5: After all the allocations are over, write the allocations and calculate the transportation cost

|  | Destinations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sources |  | Kanpur | Pune | Delhi | Supply |
|  | Jaipur | 4 (40) | 5 | 1 | 40 |
|  | Udaipur | 3 (30) | 4 (30) | 3 | 60 |
|  | Mumbai | 6 | 2 (10) | 8 (60) | 70 |
|  | Demand | 70 | 40 | 60 |  |

Therefore, Transportation Cost $=(4 * 40)+(3 * 30)+(4 * 30)+(2 * 10)+(8 * 60)=$ Rs. 870.

Problem : 2
Find Solution using North-West Corner method

|  | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | 19 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 7 |
| S2 | 70 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 9 |
| S3 | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 5 | 8 | 7 | 14 |  |

## Solution:

$\Sigma$ Supply $=\Sigma$ Demand
$\rightarrow$ The given transportation problem is balanced.

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | 19 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 7 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 9 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 5 | 8 | 7 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=7$ and $D 1=5$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (7,5)=5$ is assigned to $S 1 D 1$
This meets the complete demand of $D 1$ and leaves $7-5=2$ units with $S 1$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | 30 | 50 | 10 | 2 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 9 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 0 | 8 | 7 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=2$ and $D 2=8$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (2,8)=2$ is assigned to $S 1 D 2$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 1$ and leaves $8-2=6$ units with $D 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 9 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 0 | 6 | 7 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 2=9$ and $D 2=6$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (9,6)=6$ is assigned to $S 2 D 2$
This meets the complete demand of $D 2$ and leaves $9-6=3$ units with $S 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | $30(6)$ | 40 | 60 | 3 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 2=3$ and $D 3=7$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (3,7)=\mathbf{3}$ is assigned to $S 2 D 3$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 2$ and leaves $7-3=4$ units with $D 3$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | $30(6)$ | $40(3)$ | 60 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 18 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=18$ and $D 3=4$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (18,4)=4$ is assigned to $S 3 D 3$
This meets the complete demand of $D 3$ and leaves $18-4=14$ units with $S 3$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | $30(6)$ | $40(3)$ | 60 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | $70(4)$ | 20 | 14 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=14$ and $D 4=14$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (14,14)=14$ is assigned to $S 3 D 4$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | $30(6)$ | $40(3)$ | 60 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | $70(4)$ | $20(14)$ | 0 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Initial feasible solution is

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $19(5)$ | $30(2)$ | 50 | 10 | 7 |
| $S 2$ | 70 | $30(6)$ | $40(3)$ | 60 | 9 |
| $S 3$ | 40 | 8 | $70(4)$ | $20(\mathbf{1 4 )}$ | 18 |
| Demand | 5 | 8 | 7 | 14 |  |

The minimum total transportation cost $=19 \times 5+30 \times 2+30 \times 6+40 \times 3+70 \times 4+20 \times 14=1015$

Here, the number of allocated cells $=6$ is equal to $m+n-1=3+4-1=6$
$\therefore$ This solution is non-degenerate.

## Problem: 3

The Amulya Milk Company has three plants located throughout a state with production capacity 50, 75 and 25 gallons. Each day the firm must furnish its four retail shops $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$, $R_{3}, \& R_{4}$ with at least $20,20,50$, and 60 gallons respectively. The transportation costs (in Rs.) are given below.

| Plant | Retail Shop |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 75 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 25 |
| Demand | 20 | 20 | 50 | 60 |  |

The economic problem is to distribute the available product to different retail shops in such a way so that the total transportation cost is minimum

## Solution.

Starting from the North west corner, we allocate min $(50,20)$ to $P_{1} R_{1}$, i.e., 20 units to cell $P_{1} R_{1}$. The demand for the first column is satisfied. The allocation is shown in the following table.

| Plant | Retail Shop |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{20}$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5030 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 75 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 25 |
| Demand | 20 | 20 | 50 | 60 |  |

Now we move horizontally to the second column in the first row and allocate 20 units to cell $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}$. The demand for the second column is also satisfied.

| Plant | Retail Shop |  |  |  | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{20}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{20}$ | 7 | 6 | 503010 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 75 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 25 |
| Demand | 20 | 20 | 50 | 60 |  |

Proceeding in this way, we observe that $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{3}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3}=40, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{4}=35, \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{4}=25$. The initial basic feasible solution is shown below.

| Plant | Retail Shop |  |  |  | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $3(20)$ | $5$ | $7 \text { (10) }$ | 6 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2 | 5 | $8$ | $2(35)$ | 75 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | $2$ | 25 |
| Demand | 20 | 20 | 50 | 60 |  |

Here, number of retail shops $(\mathrm{n})=4$, and Number of plants $(\mathrm{m})=3$
Number of basic variables $=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}-1=3+4-1=6$.
The total transportation cost is calculated by multiplying each $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ in an occupied cell with the corresponding $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and adding as follows:
$(20 \times 3)+(20 \times 5)+(10 \times 7)+(40 \times 8)+(35 X 2)+(25 X 2)=670$.

## Problem : 4

Find Solution using North-West Corner method

| Source To | D | E | F | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 5 | 8 | 4 | 50 |
| B | 6 | 6 | 3 | 40 |
| C | 3 | 9 | 6 | 60 |
| Demand | 20 | 95 | 35 | 150 |

In this problem, three sources $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C with the production capacity of 50 units, 40 units, 60 units of product respectively is given. Every day the demand of three retailers D, $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$ is to be furnished with at least 20 units, 95 units and 35 units of product respectively. The transportation costs are also given in the matrix.

## $\Sigma$ Supply $=\Sigma$ Demand

$\rightarrow$ The given transportation problem is balanced.

1. Select the north-west or extreme left corner of the matrix, assign as many units as possible to cell AD, within the supply and demand constraints. Such as 20 units are assigned to the first cell, that satisfies the demand of destination D while the supply is in surplus.
2. Now move horizontally and assign 30 units to the cell AE. Since 30 units are available with the source A , the supply gets fully saturated.
3. Now move vertically in the matrix and assign 40 units to Cell BE. The supply of source B also gets fully saturated.
4. Again move vertically, and assign 25 units to cell CE, the demand of destination E is fulfilled.
5. Move horizontally in the matrix and assign 35 units to cell CF, both the demand and supply of origin and destination gets saturated. Now the total cost can be computed.

| To | D | E | F | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 5 | 8 | 4 | 50 |
| B | 6 | 6 | 40 | 3 |
| C | 3 | 9 | 40 |  |
| Demand | 20 | 95 | 35 | 150 |

The Total cost can be computed by multiplying the units assigned to each cell with the concerned transportation cost. Therefore,

Total Cost $=20 * 5+30 * 8+40 * 6+25 * 9+35 * 6=$ Rs. 1015

## Problem 5: Find Solution using North-West Corner method

|  | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| S2 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| S3 | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

## Solution:

$\Sigma$ Supply $=\Sigma$ Demand
$\rightarrow$ The given transportation problem is balanced.

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=250$ and $D 1=200$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (250,200)=200$ is assigned to $S 1 D 1$
This meets the complete demand of $D 1$ and leaves $250-200=50$ units with $S 1$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | 13 | 17 | 14 | 50 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 0 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=50$ and $D 2=225$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (50,225)=50$ is assigned to $S 1 D 2$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 1$ and leaves $225-50=175$ units with $D 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 0 | 175 | 275 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 2=300$ and $D 2=175$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (300,175)=175$ is assigned to $S 2 D 2$
This meets the complete demand of $D 2$ and leaves $300-175=125$ units with $S 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $18(175)$ | 14 | 10 | 125 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 275 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 2=125$ and $D 3=275$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (125,275)=125$ is assigned to $S 2 D 3$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 2$ and leaves 275-125=150 units with D3

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $18(175)$ | $14(125)$ | 10 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 150 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=400$ and $D 3=150$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (400,150)=150$ is assigned to $S 3 D 3$
This meets the complete demand of $D 3$ and leaves 400-150=250 units with $S 3$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $18(175)$ | $14(125)$ | 10 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | $13(150)$ | 10 | 250 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=250$ and $D 4=250$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (250,250)=250$ is assigned to $S 3 D 4$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $18(175)$ | $14(125)$ | 10 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | $13(150)$ | $10(250)$ | 0 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Initial feasible solution is

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $11(200)$ | $13(50)$ | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $18(175)$ | $14(125)$ | 10 | 300 |
| $S 3$ | 21 | 24 | $13(150)$ | $10(250)$ | 400 |
| Demand | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

The minimum total transportation
cost $=11 \times 200+13 \times 50+18 \times 175+14 \times 125+13 \times 150+10 \times 250=12200$

Here, the number of allocated cells $=6$ is equal to $m+n-1=3+4-1=6$
$\therefore$ This solution is non-degenerate.

Problem: 6 Find Solution using North-West Corner method

|  | D1 | D2 | D3 | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 76 |
| S2 | 16 | 24 | 16 | 82 |
| S3 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 77 |
| Demand | 72 | 102 | 41 |  |

Solution: Problem Table is

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | 4 | 8 | 8 | 76 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 24 | 16 | 82 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | 16 | 24 | 77 |
| Demand | 72 | 102 | 41 |  |

Here Total Demand $=215$ is less than Total Supply $=235$. So We add a dummy demand constraint with 0 unit cost and with allocation 20 . The modified table is

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 76 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 82 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 77 |
| Demand | 72 | 102 | 41 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=76$ and $D 1=72$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (76,72)=72$ is assigned to $S 1 D 1$
This meets the complete demand of $D 1$ and leaves $76-72=4$ units with $S 1$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | 8 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 82 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 77 |
| Demand | 0 | 102 | 41 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 1=4$ and $D 2=102$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (4,102)=4$ is assigned to $S 1 D 2$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 1$ and leaves 102-4=98 units with $D 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 82 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 77 |
| Demand | 0 | 98 | 41 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 2=82$ and $D 2=98$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (82,98)=82$ is assigned to $S 2 D 2$
This exhausts the capacity of $S 2$ and leaves $98-82=16$ units with $D 2$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $24(82)$ | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 77 |
| Demand | 0 | 16 | 41 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=77$ and $D 2=16$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (77,16)=16$ is assigned to $S 3 D 2$
This meets the complete demand of $D 2$ and leaves $77-16=61$ units with $S 3$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $24(82)$ | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | $16(16)$ | 24 | 0 | 61 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 41 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=61$ and $D 3=41$ are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (61,41)=41$ is assigned to $S 3 D 3$
This meets the complete demand of $D 3$ and leaves $61-41=20$ units with $S 3$

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $24(82)$ | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | $16(16)$ | $24(41)$ | 0 | 20 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |

The rim values for $S 3=20$ and Ddummy=20 are compared.
The smaller of the two i.e. $\min (20,20)=20$ is assigned to $S 3$ D4

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $24(82)$ | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | $16(16)$ | $24(41)$ | $0(20)$ | 0 |
| Demand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Initial feasible solution is

|  | $D 1$ | $D 2$ | $D 3$ | $D 4$ | Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S 1$ | $4(72)$ | $8(4)$ | 8 | 0 | 76 |
| $S 2$ | 16 | $24(82)$ | 16 | 0 | 82 |
| $S 3$ | 8 | $16(16)$ | $24(41)$ | $0(20)$ | 77 |
| Demand | 72 | 102 | 41 | 20 |  |

The minimum total transportation cost $=4 \times 72+8 \times 4+24 \times 82+16 \times 16+24 \times 41+0 \times 20=3528$
Here, the number of allocated cells $=6$ is equal to $m+n-1=3+4-1=6$
$\therefore$ This solution is non-degenerate

