### **3.3 Equivalence Relations**

### **Introduction to Equivalence Relations**

An **equivalence relation** on a set is a way to formalize the idea of two elements being "related" to each other in a specific way. To define an equivalence relation, we need to specify three properties:

#### **Definition of an Equivalence Relation**

Let AAA be a set, and let RRR be a relation on AAA (i.e., a subset of  $A \times AA$  \times  $AA \times A$ ). The relation RRR is called an equivalence relation if it satisfies the following three properties:

1. **Reflexivity:** For all  $a \in Aa \setminus in Aa \in A$ ,  $a R aa \setminus, R \setminus$ , aaRa holds.

This means that every element is related to itself.

2. **Symmetry:** For all  $a,b\in Aa$ ,  $b \in A$ , if  $a \cap Aa, b\in A$ , if  $a \cap ba \setminus A$ ,  $b \in A$ ,  $b \in$ 

This means if aaa is related to bbb, then bbb is related to aaa.

3. **Transitivity:** For all a,b,c $\in$ Aa, b, c \in Aa,b,c $\in$ A, if a R ba \, R \, baRb and b R cb \, R \, cbRc, then a R ca \, R \, caRc.

This means that if aaa is related to bbb, and bbb is related to ccc, then aaa must be related to ccc.

# **Examples of Equivalence Relations**

- Equality on Numbers: The relation === on the set of integers Z\mathbb{Z}Z is an equivalence relation since:
  - Reflexive: a=aa = aa=a for all  $a\in \mathbb{Z}a \setminus in \setminus \mathbb{Z}a\in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - Symmetric: If a=ba=ba=b, then b=ab=ab=a.
  - Transitive: If a=ba=ba=b and b=cb=cb=c, then a=ca=ca=c.
- **Congruence Modulo nnn:** Define a≡b (mod n)a \equiv b \, (\text{mod} \, n)a≡b(modn) if nnn divides a-ba ba-b. This is an equivalence relation on Z\mathbb{Z}Z:
  - Reflexive: a-a=0a a = 0a-a=0, so  $a \equiv a \pmod{n}a \setminus (\sqrt{text} \pmod{n}, n)a \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .
  - Symmetric: If  $a\equiv b \pmod{n} a \ket{\text{wod } h}$ ,  $(\det{mod} \ n) a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $b\equiv a \pmod{n} b \ket{\text{equiv } a \ (\det{mod} \ n) b \equiv a \pmod{n}}$ .
  - Transitive: If  $a\equiv b \pmod{n}a \ket{\text{equiv } b}, (\det{mod} n)a \equiv b \pmod{n}a = c \pmod{n}b + (\det{mod} n)b = c \pmod{n}b = c \pmod{n}a = c \pmod{n}a + (\det{mod} n)a + (\det{mod} n)a = c \pmod{n}a$ .
- Equivalence of Rational Numbers by Same Value: Define the relation ~\sim~ on Q\mathbb{Q}Q (the set of rational numbers) by a~ba \sim ba~b if and only if a=ba = ba=b. This is an equivalence relation since:
  - Reflexive: a=aa = aa=a.
  - Symmetric: If a=ba=ba=b, then b=ab=ab=a.
  - Transitive: If a=ba = ba=b and b=cb = cb=c, then a=ca = ca=c.

# **Equivalence Classes**

An equivalence relation on a set AAA divides AAA into disjoint subsets, called **equivalence classes**. The equivalence class of an element  $a \in Aa \setminus in Aa \in A$ , denoted [a][a][a], is the set of all elements in AAA that are related to aaa.

Formally, for a relation RRR on AAA, the equivalence class of an element aaa is defined as:

 $[a] = \{x \in A \mid a \in R x\} [a] = \{x \in A \mid a \in A, R \in R \}$ 

For example, if the relation is  $\sim \sin \sim on \mathbb{Z} = ba=b$ , the equivalence class of 333 is  $[3]=\{3\}[3]=\{3\}[3]=\{3\}$ .

# **Partitioning the Set**

An equivalence relation on a set AAA naturally partitions AAA into disjoint equivalence classes. This means:

- Every element of AAA belongs to exactly one equivalence class.
- The equivalence classes are disjoint, i.e., if [a]∩[b]≠Ø[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset[a]∩[b]□=Ø, then [a]=[b][a] = [b][a]=[b].

This leads to the important result:

**Theorem:** An equivalence relation on a set AAA partitions AAA into disjoint equivalence classes.

# **Quotient Set (Set of Equivalence Classes)**

The set of all equivalence classes of a set AAA under an equivalence relation RRR is called the **quotient set** or **set of equivalence classes** and is denoted by A/RA / RA/R. Formally:

 $A/R = \{ [a] | a \in A \} A / R = \{ [a] \setminus in A \setminus A/R = \{ [a] | a \in A \} \}$ 

### **Properties of Equivalence Classes**

- Uniqueness: Each element of AAA belongs to one and only one equivalence class.
- Disjointness: If two equivalence classes [a][a][a] and [b][b][b] are not the same, then they are disjoint. That is, [a]∩[b]=Ø[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset[a]∩[b]=Ø if a≠ba \neq ba□=b.

# **Problem Examples**

# **Problem 1: Verify if ~\sim~ is an equivalence relation**

Let  $A=ZA = \mathbb{Z}A = \mathbb$ 

# Solution:

- **Reflexive:** For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}a \setminus in \mathbb{Z}a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a-a=0a a = 0a-a=0, which is divisible by 3. So  $a \sim aa \setminus sim aa \sim a$ .
- Symmetric: If a~ba \sim ba~b, then a-ba ba-b is divisible by 3. Since b-a=-(a-b)b a = -(a b)b-a=-(a-b), which is also divisible by 3, b~ab \sim ab~a.
- Transitive: If a~ba \sim ba~b and b~cb \sim cb~c, then a-ba ba-b and b-cb cb-c are divisible by 3. Therefore, (a-b)+(b-c)=a-c(a b) + (b c) = a c(a-b)+(b-c)=a-c is divisible by 3, so a~ca \sim ca~c.

Thus,  $\sim$ \sim $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on Z\mathbb{Z}Z.

### Problem 2: Find the equivalence class of 222 under ~\sim~ from Problem 1.

**Solution:** The equivalence class of 222 under  $\sim$ \sim $\sim$  is the set of all integers  $x \in Zx \setminus in \setminus Z = Z + Z = 2x - 2$  is divisible by 3. This can be written as:

 $[2]= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{(1, 1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{(1, 1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\} [2] = \{(1, 1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{(1, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} | x-2 \ge 0 \pmod{3}$ 

In general, the equivalence class [2][2][2] consists of all integers that are congruent to 2 modulo 3.

### Problem 3: Partition Z\mathbb{Z}Z using equivalence modulo 3.

 $Z/\sim = \{[0], [1], [2]\} \setminus x = \{ [0], [1], [2] \} Z/\sim = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$ 

Where:

- $[0] = \{\dots, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\} [0] = \setminus \{ \setminus dots, -3, 0, 3, 6, \setminus dots \setminus \} [0] = \{\dots, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\},\$
- $[1] = \{\dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\} [1] = \setminus \{ \langle dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \langle dots \rangle \} [1] = \{\dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\},$
- $[2] = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, \dots\} [2] = \setminus \{ \setminus dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, \setminus dots \setminus \} [2] = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, \dots\}.$

# **Applications of Equivalence Relations**

Equivalence relations are used in many areas of mathematics and computer science, including:

- Group theory: Equivalence relations are closely tied to the concept of cosets.
- Geometry: Congruence relations define geometric equivalence.
- Automata theory: States of finite automata are often considered equivalent based on the language they recognize.