

ENGINEERING AS EXPERIMENTATION

Before manufacturing a product or providing a project, we make several assumptions and trials, design and redesign and test several times till the product is observed to be functioning satisfactorily. We try different materials and experiments. From the test data obtained we make detailed design and retests. Thus, design as well as engineering is iterative process as illustrated in Fig. 3.1.




Fig. 3.1 Design as an interactive process

Several redesigns are made upon the feedback information on the performance or failure in the field or in the factory. Besides the tests, each engineering project is modified during execution, based on the periodical feedback on the progress and the lessons from other sources. Hence, the development of a product or a project as a whole may be considered as an experiment.

Engineering Projects VS. Standard Experiments

We shall now compare the two activities, and identify the similarities and contrasts.

A. *Similarities*

1. *Partial ignorance*: The project is usually executed in partial ignorance. Uncertainties exist in the model assumed. The behavior of materials purchased is uncertain and not constant (that is certain!). They may vary with the suppliers, processed lot, time, and the process used in shaping the materials (e.g., sheet or plate, rod or wire, forged or cast or welded). There may be variations in the grain structure and its resulting failure stress. It is not possible to collect data on all variations. In some cases, extrapolation, interpolation, assumptions of linear behavior over the range of parameters, accelerated testing, simulations, and virtual testing are resorted.
2. *Uncertainty*: The final outcomes of projects are also uncertain, as in experiments. Some times unintended results, side effects (by-products), and unsafe operation have also occurred. Unexpected risks, such as undue seepage in a storage dam, leakage of nuclear radiation from an atomic power plant, presence of pesticides in food or soft drink bottle, an new irrigation canal spreading water-borne diseases, and an unsuspecting hair dryer causing lung cancer on the user from the asbestos gasket used in the product have been reported.
3. *Continuous monitoring*: Monitoring continually the progress and gaining new knowledge are needed before, during, and after execution of project as in the case of experimentation. The performance is to be monitored even during the use (or wrong use!) of the product by the end user/bene
4. *Learning from the past*: Engineers normally learn from their own prior designs and infer from the analysis of operation and results, and sometimes from the reports of other engineers. But this does not happen frequently. The absence of interest and channels of communication, ego in not seeking information, guilty upon the failure, fear of legal actions, and mere negligence have caused many a failure, e.g., the Titanic lacked sufficient number of life boats—it had only 825 boats for the actual passengers of 2227, the capacity of the ship being 3547

CONTRASTS

The scientific experiments in the laboratory and the engineering experiments in the field exhibit several contrasts as listed below:

5. *Experimental control*: In standard experiments, members for study are selected into two groups namely A and B at random. Group A are given special treatment. The group B is given no treatment and is called the 'controlled group'. But they are placed in the same environment as the other group A.

This process is called the *experimental control*. This practice is adopted in the field of medicine. In engineering, this does not happen, except when the project is confined to laboratory experiments. This is because it is the clients or consumers who choose the product, exercise the control. It is not possible to make a random selection of participants from various groups. In engineering, through random sampling, the survey is made from among the users, to assess the results on the product.

6. *Humane touch*: Engineering experiments involve human souls, their needs, views, expectations, and creative use as in case of social experimentation. This point of view is not agreed by many of the engineers. But now the quality engineers and managers have fully realized this humane aspect.
7. *Informed consent*: Engineering experimentation is viewed as Societal Experiment since the subject and the beneficiary are human beings. In this respect, it is similar to medical experimentation on human beings. In the case of medical practice, moral and legal rights have been recognized while planning for experimentation. Informed consent is practiced in medical experimentation. Such a practice is not there in scientific laboratory experiments.

Informed consent has two basic elements:

1. *Knowledge*: The subject should be given all relevant information needed to make the decision to participate.
2. *Voluntariness*: Subject should take part without force, fraud or deception. Respect for rights of minorities to dissent and compensation for harmful effect are assumed here.

ENGINEERS AS RESPONSIBLE EXPERIMENTERS

Although the engineers facilitate experiments, they are not alone in the field. Their responsibility is shared with the organizations, people, government, and others. No doubt the engineers share a greater responsibility while monitoring the projects, identifying the risks, and informing the clients and the public with facts. Based on this, they can take decisions to participate or protest or promote.

The engineer, as an experimenter, owe several responsibilities to the society, namely,

1. A conscientious commitment to live by moral values.
2. A comprehensive perspective on relevant information. It includes constant awareness of the progress of the experiment and readiness to monitor the side effects, if any.
3. Unrestricted free-personal involvement in all steps of the project/product development (autonomy).
4. Be accountable for the results of the project (accountability).

Conscientiousness

Conscientious moral commitment means: (a) Being sensitive to full range of moral values and responsibilities relevant to the prevailing situation and (b) the willingness to develop the skill and put efforts needed to reach the best balance possible among those considerations. In short, engineers must possess open eyes, open ears, and an open mind (i.e., moral vision, moral listening, and moral reasoning).

This makes the engineers as social experimenters, respect foremost the safety and health of the affected, while they seek to enrich their knowledge, rush for the profit, follow the rules, or care for only the beneficiary. The human rights of the participant should be protected through voluntary and informed consent.